UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,242	01/19/2007	Gerhard Kottschlag	10191/4700 8869	
26646 KENYON & K	7590 11/05/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADWAY			AKINYEMI, AJIBOLA A	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/576,242	KOTTSCHLAG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	AJIBOLA AKINYEMI	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ja	nuary 2009.					
/ <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
·=	, _					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-30, 34-</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-30 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
are subject to restriction and of	olodion requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2006</u> is/are: a)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
i apoi ivolojiivian bate	J)					

Application/Control Number: 10/576,242 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 26-30, 34 in the reply filed on 07/09/2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it is not believe that examination of all the claims presents any undue burden on the office. This is not found persuasive because invention I initiates a separate search and is in a different field.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 26, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Rabinowitz (Pub. No.: US 2003/0145328A1).

With respect to claim 26:

Rabinowitz discloses a receiver comprising a generating arrangement to generate at least one of a tuning signal and additional control signals for an antenna amplifier,

Page 3

wherein the antenna amplifier includes: an input for connecting to an antenna (fig.8, antenna in); an output for connecting to a receiver(fig.8, output from item 840); an arrangement for providing signal-level matching between the input and the output (fig.8, item 810, 820, 830 and 840 represent the matching circuit); and a narrow-band filter (fig.8, item 810 which represent the narrow band filter) situated between the input and the arrangement for providing signal-level matching, wherein a pass frequency of the narrow-band filter is configured to be tuned (item 810 in fig.8 has a control input for tuning) to a receive channel of the receiver.

With respect to claim 29:

Rabinowitz discloses a receiver comprising a generating arrangement to generate at least one of a tuning signal and additional control signals for an antenna amplifier, wherein the antenna amplifier includes: an input for connecting to an antenna (fig.8, antenna in); an output for connecting to a receiver(fig.8, output from item 840); an arrangement for providing signal-level matching between the input and the output (fig.8, item 810, 820, 830 and 840 represent the matching circuit) and a narrow-band filter (fig.8, item 810 which represent the narrow band filter) situated between the input and the arrangement for providing signal-level matching, wherein a pass frequency of the narrow-band filter is configured to be tuned (item 810 in fig.8 has a control input for tuning) to a receive channel of the receiver and generating arrangement to generate at least one of the tuning signal (fig.3, control input to item 810) and additional control signal (fig.3, control input to item 820 and 872) for the antenna amplifier.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,242 Page 4

Art Unit: 2618

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rabinowitz (Pub. No.: US 2003/0145328A1) and further in view of Kilpatrick (Patent No.: US 5898402)

With respect to claim 27:

The rejection of claim 26 is incorporated; Rabinowitz did not disclose additional control signals includes a module and wherein an input of the module is connected to one of a microcontroller and an internal tuning signal and wherein the tuning signal is applied to an output of the module in a form suitable for transmission to the antenna amplifier Kilpatrick disclosed a receiver wherein the means for generating at least one of a tuning signal and additional control signals includes a module (fig.3, item 69) and wherein an

Application/Control Number: 10/576,242

Art Unit: 2618

input of the module is connected to one of a microcontroller (fig.3, item 70) and an internal tuning signal (fig.3, item 67) and wherein the tuning signal is applied to an output of the module in a form suitable for transmission to the antenna amplifier (fig.3). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the above limitation for tuning purpose.

Page 5

4. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rabinowitz (Pub. No.: US 2003/0145328A1) and further in view of Kilpatrick (Patent No.: US 5898402) and Sakurai (Patent No.: 4531232).

With respect to claim 28:

The rejection of claim 26 is incorporated; Rabinowitz and Kilpatrick did not disclose a detector for detecting and evaluating information signals which are generated by the antenna amplifier and are transmitted in addition to radio signals. Sakurai disclosed a detector for detecting and evaluating information signals which are generated by the antenna amplifier and are transmitted in addition to radio signals (abstract, col.1, lines 16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a detector so as to provide effective reception by the receiver.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 30 and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26, 29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,242 Page 7

Art Unit: 2618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AJIBOLA AKINYEMI whose telephone number is (571)270-1846. The examiner can normally be reached on monday- friday (8.30-5pm) Est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, YUWEN PAN can be reached on (571) 272-7855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AA

/Duc Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618